arXiv:1403.7749v1 [quant-ph] 30 Mar 2014

A simple derivation of the strong subadditivity inequalities

S. Kalyana Rama

Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus,
Tharamani, CHENNAI 600 113, India.

email: krama@imsc.res.in

ABSTRACT

In this short note, we give a simple derivation of the strong subadditivity inequalities: $S_{1\cup 3} + S_{2\cup 3} \geq S_1 + S_2$ and $S_{1\cup 2} + S_{2\cup 3} \geq S_2 + S_{1\cup 2\cup 3}$. The simplicity is due to the way we represent the quantum systems. We make a few remarks about such a representation.

One considers quantum systems in pure states, two quantum systems being entangled et cetera. Consider two quantum systems, denoted as 1 and 2, which have zero initial entanglement between them. Imagine building up entanglement between them by the following protocol:

- Take an EPR pair. Send one EPR partner to system 1. This partner will then interact with system 1 and, together, they will evolve unitarily.
- Similarly, the other EPR partner is sent to system 2. This partner will then interact with system 2 and, together, they will evolve unitarily. Systems 1 and 2 are otherwise kept isolated.
- Repeat the above steps n_{12} times so that n_{12} units of entanglement entropy are built up between systems 1 and 2 .

Two systems : subadditivity and triangle inequalities

Imagining that any entanglement entropies can be built up by such a protocol, we represent systems 1 and 2 as

$$1 \ : \ \boxed{n_{12} \ ; \ N_1 \ ; \ \sim\!\sim\!\sim}$$

$$2 \ : \ \boxed{n_{12} \ ; \ N_2 \ ; \ \sim\!\sim\!\sim}$$

where $(n_{12},\ N_1,\ N_2)$ are all non negative; N_1 denotes possible entanglement of system 1 with some environment; similarly N_2 denotes that of system 2 ; and $\sim\!\sim\!\sim$ denotes the rest of the systems which have no entropic content.

Keeping in mind the construction protocol for the entanglement entropy, imagining operating it in reverse, and invoking the monogamy of entanglement, it follows that the entropies S_1 of system 1, S_2 of system 2, and $S_{1\cup 2}$ of systems 1 and 2 together are given by

$$S_1 \ = \ n_{12} + N_1 \ \ ; \ \ S_2 \ = \ n_{12} + N_2 \ \ ; \ \ S_{1\cup 2} \ = \ N_1 + N_2 \ \ .$$

We immediately obtain the subadditivity and the triangle inequalities [1]

$$S_1 + S_2 - S_{1\cup 2} \ \ = \ \ 2n_{12} \ \geq \ 0$$

$$S_{1\cup 2} - |S_1 - S_2| \ \ = \ \ N_1 + N_2 - |N_1 - N_2| \ \geq \ 0 \ \ .$$

Three systems : strong subadditivity inequalities

When three systems 1, 2, and 3 are present, we represent them as

$$1 \ : \quad \boxed{n_{12}\ ;\ \ n_{13}\ ;\ \ N_1\ ;\ \ \sim\!\sim\!\sim}$$

$$2 \ : \quad \boxed{n_{12}\ ;\ \ n_{23}\ ;\ \ N_2\ ;\ \ \sim\!\sim\!\sim}$$

$$3 \ : \quad \boxed{n_{13}\ ;\ \ n_{23}\ ;\ \ N_3\ ;\ \ \sim\!\sim\!\sim}$$

where $(n_{12},\ n_{13},\ n_{23})$ and $(N_1,\ N_2, N_3)$ are all non negative and their meanings are as before. Again, as before, it follows that the various associated entropies are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= n_{12} + n_{13} + N_1 \\ S_2 &= n_{12} + n_{23} + N_2 \\ S_3 &= n_{13} + n_{23} + N_3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1\cup 2} &= n_{13} + n_{23} + N_1 + N_2 \\ S_{2\cup 3} &= n_{12} + n_{13} + N_2 + N_3 \\ S_{1\cup 3} &= n_{12} + n_{23} + N_1 + N_3 \\ S_{1\cup 2\cup 3} &= N_1 + N_2 + N_3 \ . \end{aligned}$$

We now immediately obtain the strong subadditivity inequalities [1]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1\cup 3} + S_{2\cup 3} - S_1 - S_2 &= 2N_3 \ \geq\ 0 \\ S_{1\cup 2} + S_{2\cup 3} - S_2 - S_{1\cup 2\cup 3} &= 2n_{13} \ \geq\ 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

This is our simple derivation. Its simplicity and directness are evidently due to the way we have represented the quantum systems. To elevate this derivation to a proof, one has to show that any quantum system can be represented by, or mapped to, the representation given here – atleast as far as the entropy properties of the system are concerned. We do not know how to show this.

However, considering our construction protocol for the entanglement entropy and its operation in reverse, the representation given here seems physically reasonable. As we have seen, it leads to the subadditivity inequalities in a simple and direct fashion. Therefore, at the least, such a representation can be used to gain more intuition and, by applying to multi systems, may also be used to obtain more inequalities.

Acknowledgement: We thank Samir Mathur for discussions. We also thank him for Nag Memorial Lectures he delivered at our Institute in January 2014 where, among other things, he emphasised the importance of the strong subadditivity inequalities.

References

[1] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang,
Quantum Computation and Quantum Information,
Cambridge University Press (2000).